# Lecture 11: Frequentist Regression and Bootstrap

Jacob Steinhardt

October 1, 2020



- Bayesian regression
  - Least squares = MLE
  - Ridge regression = MAP
- Overdispersion
  - Model mis-specification  $\implies$  overly narrow uncertainty



- Bayesian regression
  - Least squares = MLE
  - Ridge regression = MAP
- Overdispersion
  - Model mis-specification → overly narrow uncertainty

This time: frequentist uncertainty and bootstrap

## Bayesian vs. frequentist uncertainty

Credible interval:

**Confidence interval:** 

**Credible interval:** Posterior probability that  $\theta$  lies in interval is at least *p* 

**Confidence interval:** Conditional on  $\theta$ , interval contains  $\theta$  with probability p

Recall COVID-19 example:  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Cases} \mid \text{Day}] = \exp(\beta_{\text{Day}} \cdot \text{Day} + \beta_{\text{Intercept}})]$ 

To understand growth rate, care about coefficient  $\beta_{\text{Day}}$ 

Recall COVID-19 example:  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Cases} \mid \text{Day}] = \exp(\beta_{\text{Day}} \cdot \text{Day} + \beta_{\text{Intercept}})]$ 

To understand growth rate, care about coefficient  $\beta_{\text{Day}}$ 

Previous lecture: MCMC sampling gives us posterior distribution (and hence credible interval) for  $\beta_{Day}$ :



Recall COVID-19 example:  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Cases} \mid \text{Day}] = \exp(\beta_{\text{Day}} \cdot \text{Day} + \beta_{\text{Intercept}})]$ 

To understand growth rate, care about coefficient  $\beta_{\mathrm{Day}}$ 

What about confidence interval? Can't use prior.

Recall COVID-19 example:  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Cases} \mid \text{Day}] = \exp(\beta_{\text{Day}} \cdot \text{Day} + \beta_{\text{Intercept}})]$ 

To understand growth rate, care about coefficient  $\beta_{\text{Day}}$ 

What about confidence interval? Can't use prior.

General recipe: use generalization of CLT called "asymptotic normality"

Recall COVID-19 example:  $\mathbb{E}[\text{Cases} \mid \text{Day}] = \exp(\beta_{\text{Day}} \cdot \text{Day} + \beta_{\text{Intercept}})]$ 

To understand growth rate, care about coefficient  $\beta_{\mathrm{Day}}$ 

What about confidence interval? Can't use prior.

General recipe: use generalization of CLT called "asymptotic normality"

Beyond scope of this class, but statsmodels package will do it for us!

### Confidence intervals with statsmodels

[Jupyter demo]

Frequentist confidence intervals can be wrong if model is wrong

Just like Bayesian case

We'll escape this with a non-parametric tool for producing frequentist CIs

Non-parametric  $\implies$  doesn't rely on model  $\implies$  more robust

Frequentist confidence intervals can be wrong if model is wrong

Just like Bayesian case

We'll escape this with a non-parametric tool for producing frequentist CIs

Non-parametric  $\implies$  doesn't rely on model  $\implies$  more robust

You've seen this before: the bootstrap

## The Bootstrap

Idea for computing confidence intervals + uncertainty

Without bootstrap:

- Chi-square test, student-t test, ...
- Lots of algebra, need different formula for each setting
- Often rely on model assumptions

With bootstrap:

- Single unified approach
- Computer simulation
- Fewer assumptions

Data:  $x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(n)}$ 

Estimator: 
$$\hat{ heta} = \hat{ heta}(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)})$$

•  $heta^*$ : population parameter (what  $\hat{ heta}$  converges to as  $n \to \infty$ )

Question: How close is  $\theta^*$  to  $\hat{\theta}$ ?

Mean of 1-dimensional distribution:

• 
$$x^{(1)},\ldots,x^{(n)}\in\mathbb{R}$$

• 
$$\hat{\theta}(x^{(1)},...,x^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{n}(x_1+...+x_n)$$

How close is estimate to the true mean?

Mean of 1-dimensional distribution:

• 
$$x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}$$
  
•  $\hat{\theta}(x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{n}(x_1 + \dots + x_n)$ 

How close is estimate to the true mean?

Regression:

• 
$$(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \dots, (x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$$
  
•  $\hat{\beta}((x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \dots, (x^{(n)}, y^{(n)})) = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n (y^{(i)} - \beta^\top x^{(i)})^2$ 

How close is  $\hat{\beta}$  to population parameters  $\beta^*$ ?

Mixture models

Density estimation

Neural nets? (Actually not...)

Population distribution  $p^*$ 

• 
$$x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)} \sim p^*$$

Population distribution  $p^*$ 

• 
$$x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(n)} \sim p^*$$

Noise in  $\hat{\theta}$  due to randomness in  $x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}$ 

Population distribution  $p^*$ 

•  $x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(n)} \sim p^*$ 

Noise in  $\hat{\theta}$  due to randomness in  $x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}$ 

Imagine hypothetically sampling fresh data:

$$x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)} \rightarrow \hat{ heta}$$
 (Original sample  
 $x^{(1)'}, \dots, x^{(n)'} \rightarrow \hat{ heta}'$  (Re-sample)  
 $x^{(1)''}, \dots, x^{(n)''} \rightarrow \hat{ heta}''$   
 $x^{(1)'''}, \dots, x^{(n)'''} \rightarrow \hat{ heta}'''$ 

Population distribution  $p^*$ 

•  $x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(n)} \sim p^*$ 

Noise in  $\hat{\theta}$  due to randomness in  $x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)}$ 

Imagine hypothetically sampling fresh data:

$$x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)} 
ightarrow \hat{ heta}$$
 (Original sample)  
 $x^{(1)'}, \dots, x^{(n)'} 
ightarrow \hat{ heta}'$  (Re-sample)  
 $x^{(1)''}, \dots, x^{(n)''} 
ightarrow \hat{ heta}''$   
 $x^{(1)'''}, \dots, x^{(n)'''} 
ightarrow \hat{ heta}'''$ 

Implicit commitment: distribution of  $\hat{\theta}$  roughly centered on  $\theta^*$  (low bias)

$$\hat{\theta}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \max_{i=1}^n x_i$$

n samples: always finite

 $\infty$  samples: infinite

Want to approximate hypothetical samples  $\hat{ heta}', \hat{ heta}'', \dots$ 

But only have actual data  $x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)} o \hat{ heta}$ 

Idea: subsample data

- With replacement
- *n* points in each sample

B: number of bootstrap samples

For b = 1, ..., B: • Sample  $x^{(1)'}, ..., x^{(n)'}$  with replacement from  $x^{(1)}, ..., x^{(n)}$ • Let  $\hat{\theta}^{(b)} = \hat{\theta}(x^{(1)'}, ..., x^{(n)'})$ 

Output  $\{\hat{\theta}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\theta}^{(B)}\}$ 

[Jupyter demos]

### When does the bootstrap work?

Most parametric estimators are fine

• I.e. fixed number of parameters d and  $d \ll n$ 

## When does the bootstrap work?

Most parametric estimators are fine

• I.e. fixed number of parameters d and  $d \ll n$ 

NOT parametric:

- Decision trees
- Neural nets
- Kernel regression

These "interpolate" data, sampling with replacement pprox subsampling

## When does the bootstrap work?

Most parametric estimators are fine

• I.e. fixed number of parameters *d* and *d* << *n* 

NOT parametric:

- Decision trees
- Neural nets
- Kernel regression

These "interpolate" data, sampling with replacement pprox subsampling

Other commitments:

- $\hat{\theta}$  approximately unbiased
- θ<sup>\*</sup> is a meaningful quantity

- Credible intervals vs. confidence intervals
- Confidence intervals in statsmodels
- Still depend on assumptions!
- Bootstrap more robust (and flexible)